



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
219 SOUTH DEARBORN STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604**

THOMAS G. BRUTON
CLERK

312-435-6860

August 11, 2021

Brian E. Martin
Stamos & Trucco LLP
One East Wacker Drive
Third Floor
Chicago, IL 60601
bmartin@stamostrucco.com

Robert B. Kaplan
Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP
Two Embarcadero Center
5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
rbk@jmbm.com

Sangmee Konicek
K&L Gates LLP
70 West Madison
Suite 3300
Chicago, IL 60602
sangmee.konicek@klgates.com

**In re: Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Alum Landlord (De) QRS16-105, Inc., etc., et al.
Case No. 11 CV 6133**

Dear Counsel,

I have been contacted by Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman, who presided over the above-mentioned case.

Judge Coleman informed me that it has been brought to her attention that while she presided over the case, she owned stock in Wells Fargo. Her ownership of stock neither affected nor impacted her decisions in this case. However, her stock ownership would have required recusal under the Code of Conduct for United States Judges, and thus, Judge Coleman directed that I notify the parties of the conflict.


[Advisory Opinion 71](#), from the Judicial Conference Codes of Conduct Committee, provides the following guidance for addressing disqualification that is not discovered until after a judge has participated in a case:

[A] judge should disclose to the parties the facts bearing on disqualification as soon as those facts are learned, even though that may occur after entry of the decision. The parties may then determine what relief they may seek, and a court (without the disqualified judge) will decide the legal consequence, if any, arising from the participation of the disqualified judge in the entered decision.

Although Advisory Opinion 71 contemplated disqualification after a Court of Appeals oral argument, the Committee explained “[s]imilar considerations would apply when a judgment was entered in a district court by a judge and it is later learned that the judge was disqualified.”

With Advisory Opinion 71 in mind, you are invited to respond to Judge Coleman’s disclosure of a conflict in this case. Should you wish to respond, please submit your response on or before August 31, 2021. Any response will be considered by another judge of this court without the participation of Judge Coleman.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Thomas G. Burton". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "T" and "B".

Clerk of Court